

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
STATE OF ARIZONA
DIVISION TWO

FILED BY CLERK

NOV 28 2007

COURT OF APPEALS
DIVISION TWO

)	2 CA-CV 2007-0072
)	2 CA-CV 2007-0073
)	2 CA-CV 2007-0074
IN RE BOND FORFEITURES IN THE)	(Consolidated)
AMOUNTS OF \$15,000.00, \$10,000.00)	DEPARTMENT A
and \$3,300.00.)	
)	<u>MEMORANDUM DECISION</u>
)	Not for Publication
)	Rule 28, Rules of Civil
)	Appellate Procedure
)	

APPEAL FROM THE SUPERIOR COURT OF PIMA COUNTY

Cause Nos. CR-20064366, CR-20070014, CR-20070829

Honorable Lori B. Jones, Judge Pro Tempore

AFFIRMED

Emilia Gracia

Tucson
In Propria Persona

Barbara LaWall, Pima County Attorney
By Thomas J. Rankin

Tucson
Attorneys for Appellee

H O W A R D, Presiding Judge.

¶1 In these consolidated appeals, appellant Emilia Gracia dba Angel Bail Bonds challenges three judgments forfeiting bonds in separate causes involving the same criminal defendant, Jason Arrotta. Gracia argues that the trial court failed to consider Arrotta's

mental health status, that Gracia was unaware of Arrotta’s mental health problems, and that the bonds were “surrendered prior to the forfeiture hearing.” Finding no reviewable issues, we affirm.

¶2 Gracia did not raise either of the first two issues in the trial court. She has therefore waived them. *See Englert v. Carondelet Health Network*, 199 Ariz. 21, ¶ 13, 13 P.3d 763, 768-69 (App. 2000). Gracia does not address waiver in her brief, much less provide a reason to depart from the general rule that issues raised for the first time on appeal are waived. Thus, we do not address these issues. *See id.*

¶3 Gracia arguably preserved the third issue by filing a notice and acknowledgment of surrender and request for exoneration and mentioning surrender at the forfeiture hearing. But her argument on appeal regarding surrender is insufficiently developed and therefore waived. *See Ariz. R. Civ. App. P. 13(a)(6); Phelps Dodge Corp. v. Ariz. Elec. Power Coop., Inc.*, 207 Ariz. 95, ¶ 117, 83 P.3d 573, 600 (App. 2004). Accordingly, we do not address this issue.

¶4 For the foregoing reasons, we affirm the judgments.

JOSEPH W. HOWARD, Presiding Judge

CONCURRING:

JOHN PELANDER, Chief Judge

J. WILLIAM BRAMMER, JR., Judge

